

ProCPC – PROGRAMA DE CERTIFICAÇÃO EM PUBLICAÇÃO CIENTÍFICA

SUBMISSION A PROPOSAL OF PROJECTS*

ProCPC Certificate Program Requirements	After all attendance requirements are completed, the enrollee will propose a research project and prepare and deliver a poster presentation at a CSE Annual Meeting or ABEC Annual Meeting or write a Feature Article for <i>Science Editor</i> , the journal of CSE (or both). Projects are peer-reviewed and approved by the CSE Certificate Program Committee. The project must include concepts learned throughout the certification program and address an emerging issue in scholarly publishing. Once the committee approves the project, and the enrollee has submitted the poster or Feature Article, he or she has successfully completed the program. <i>Note</i> : Once the final project is approved by the CSE committee, the poster can be presented either at the CSE Annual Meeting or the ABEC Annual Meeting. Regardless of the location, the poster must be in English. If the enrollee prefers to submit a paper to <i>Science Editor</i> , the manuscript must be in English and will be subject to the normal peer- review process of the journal. Acceptance in <i>Science Editor</i> is not required for successful completion.
Project Proposal	 Subject of the research It is open, i.e., CSE accepts a wide range of subjects related to the world of scientific publication. Submission date The submission for project proposals is opened all the year. Note: CSE suggests that "participants submit their project proposals whenever they are ready, get approval and do the research, and send their project back to the subcommittee for final approval. Then they can submit the poster whenever the site opens and their certificate requirements will already be completed".
	 Fill in the Submission Form Fill in the three box of the Submission form with the following data: Introduction (=Description of the Project): 250 words Goal (=Statement explaining the goal / What are you trying to show): 250 words Methodology (=Statement explaining the methodologies / How are you planning to put this together): 250 words. See the <u>Submission form</u> for all the details. Note/CSE additional information: 1) Posters (final version) are limited to 350 words and must be structured into Background, Methods, Results, Conclusion. They should explicitly state the study hypothesis and the methods must be able to prove or disprove the hypothesis. 2) Articles for Science Editors should be 2-3 full pages but it is important to check the format with the journal at the time of In the period of standardization of the article for submission.



Evaluation process	CSE needs 3 to 4 weeks to evaluate the proposal. Note: The complete time for evaluation depends on the needs of improving of the proposal and the availability of the author to answer the proposed questions. Projects are peer-reviewed and approved by the CSE Certificate Program Committee. Feedback will be provided on the scope and an advisor from the committee can be assigned to guide the enrollee through the project process. The role of the advisor is to ask questions and make suggestions in order to improve the scope or the methods used in the project. Once the concept has been approved, the enrollee will complete the research and submit the final project to the task committee in the form. Once the committee approves the project he or she has successfully completed the program.
Certificate	Recognizing Graduates CSE will present graduates with a certificate at the time that the program is completed. A formal presentation can be made at the CSE Annual Meeting or an ABEC Annual Meeting for graduates in attendance. The graduates will be announced in <i>Science Editor</i> and at the Awards Luncheon at the CSE Annual Meeting. A letter of congratulations that confirms the successful completion may be sent to the graduate and his or her direct supervisor.
Examples of articles and posters previous published / exhibited	 Science Editor Research article: Optimizing the Output of a Freelance Editing Model: Value Added by an In-house Reviewing Team by Clarinda Cerejo and Naveen Rajan, Science Editor • October – December 2012 • Vol 35 • No 1 • 5 (also presented as a poster) Survey article: CSE 2012 Survey on Research Misconduct Allegations by Debra Parrish, Science Editor • October – December 2012 • Vol 35 • No 1 • 5 (also presented as a poster) Parrish, Science Editor • October – December 2012 • Vol 35 • No 1 • 11 Review article: Peer Review at Journals: Some Innovative Approaches by Christina Sumners, Science Editor • July – August 2010 • Vol 33 • No 4 • 115 Poster Sessions Topics over the last few years include: How complete and clear are author guidelines of international English-language journals? Editorial burden and reader-perceived value of supporting online materials Affecting editor behavior with data Giving authors the information they want post-publication Factors influencing the media coverage of a large interdisciplinary journal Open versus blind peer review in a Danish medical journal – a randomized controlled trial Impact factor trends for general medical journals: non-English-language journals are lagging behind

*Retrieved from the ABEC and CSE websites on ProCPC, complemented with details of the proposal Submission Form and personal meeting between Emma Shumeyko, Rui Seabra, Simone Appenzeller and Ilda Fontes.